# SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, JANUARY 25, 2010 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S157341 D049251 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 LEXIN (CATHY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

For the foregoing reasons, we affirm the Court of Appeal's judgment as it relates to defendant Ronald Lee Saathoff, reverse it in all other respects, and remand for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Werdegar, J.

-- joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S158528 C044703 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ROBINSON (PAUL EUGENE)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We remand the matter to the Court of Appeal, Third District, with directions to remand the matter to the trial court with directions to amend the abstract of judgment and the minute order of sentencing to reflect the correct Penal Code section and subdivision for forcible oral copulation. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Chin, J.

-- joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, and Corrigan, JJ.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Moreno, J.

-- joined by Werdegar, J.

S024046

PEOPLE v. O'MALLEY (JAMES FRANCIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Cliff Gardner's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by April 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 22, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

### S058734

PEOPLE v. HOLMES (KARL DARNELL), McCLAIN (HERBERT CHARLES), & NEWBORN (LORENZO)

## Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Herbert McClain's reply brief by June 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 15, 2010. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 470 additional days are contemplated.

#### S083594

PEOPLE v. TRUJEQUE (TOMMY ADRIAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 26, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

#### S138625

KENNEDY (JERRY NOBLE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Clough's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 31, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 30, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

#### S171297

**DePRIEST (TIMOTHY LEE)** ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Patricia A. Young's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 21, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 22, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S173359 D052722 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. COON (JAMES DALE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to February 3, 2010.

S179539 E047529 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 DICARA (MARY) v. CAHUILLA BAND OF INDIANS

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response to the petition for review is extended to January 25, 2010. Appellant shall have until January 28, 2010, to file the reply to informal response.

S174229 B212512 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 CLARK (JAMES A.) v. S.C. (NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY)

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Brian P. Perryman of the District of Columbia for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae American Council of Life Insurers is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S174229 B212512 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 CLARK (JAMES A.) v. S.C. (NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY)

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Denise A. Fee of the District of Columbia for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae American Council of Life Insurers is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S174229 B212512 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 CLARK (JAMES A.) v. S.C. (NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY)

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of James F. Jorden of the District of Columbia for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae American Council of Life Insurers is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S159690 C050885 Third Appellate District

STOCKTON CITIZENS FOR SENSIBLE PLANNING v. CITY OF STOCKTON (A.G. SPANOS CONSTRUCTION, INC.)

Request for judicial notice denied Amicus curiae California Building Industry Association's request for judicial notice, filed August 20, 2008, is denied.

**B212940** Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (ELIJAH)** The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division One to Division Two.

B219801 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 MARTINEZ (ARTHUR) ON H.C.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Two to Division One.

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 907)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)